



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/891,032	06/25/2001	Stephen D. Hanna	BLD92000047US1	BLD92000047US1 9462	
7590 01/20/2004			EXAMINER		
Brian C. Kunzler			SORRELL, ERON J		
10 West 100 So Salt Lake City,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2182		
		DATE MAILED: 01/20/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0			PRG		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/891,032	HANNA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eron J Sorrell	2182			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	r. mmunication.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 No.	ovember 2003.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	Jutzm. Jlen FROZ FLEMING PRIMARY EXAMIN GROUP 2100	ming ER		
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 June 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the correction to the correction of th	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	• ,		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Application in Appli	on No ed in this National ed. e) (to a provisional in an Application elived. and/or 121 since	application) Data Sheet. a specific		
Attachment(s)	 □	(DT - 1/2) -			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P				

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

6) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/891,032

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1-4, 14-19, and 23 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Terashima et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,538,762 hereinafter Terashima).
- 3. Referring to method claim 1 and system claim 14, Terashima teaches a method for transmitting a plurality of data types over a plurality of transmission paths, comprising:

storing data of a plurality of compressed and noncompressed data types (see 51-57 of column 5 and lines 33-38 of
column 8; Note the "control circuit commands" described in lines
51-57 are non-compressed data);

receiving requests for the stored data (see lines 66-67 of column 8 and lines 1-2 of column 9);

Art Unit: 2182

transmitting data of both the compressed and non-compressed data types over each of a plurality of transmission paths (see lines 41-50 of column 5);

processing the transmitted data in accordance with the type of transmitted data after the transmission of the data (see lines 51-57 of column 5).

- 4. Referring to method claim 2 and system claim 15, Terashima discloses the storing of the compressed data further comprises placing the data in a plurality of FIFO buffers (see lines 51-57 of column 5).
- 5. Referring to claim 3, Terashima discloses further requesting the stored data by introducing an identification pattern into a transmission request, the identification pattern associated with the data type being transmitted at the same time as the data being transmitted (see lines 1-41 of column 5; Note the "command codes" are interpreted as the identification pattern).
- 6. Referring to claim 4, Terashima discloses transmitting data both of the compressed and non-compressed data types further comprises transmitting the data identification pattern

Art Unit: 2182

associated with the data type being transmitted at the same time as the data being transmitted (see lines 42-57 of column 5).

- 7. Referring to claim 16, Terashima discloses the handshaking control module is further configured to receive data from a host and place the received data into the memory module (see lines 42-57 of column 5).
- 8. Referring to claim 17, Terashima discloses the handshaking module is configured to place the data received into one of the plurality of FIFO buffers depending on the type of data received (see lines 42-57 of column 5).
- 9. Referring to claim 18, Terashima discloses the handshaking control module is configured to receive requests for print data from the data processing module (see figure 3; Note item labeled 5 corresponds to the handshaking module).
- 10. Referring to claim 19, Terashima discloses the handshaking control module is configured to place the data requested from the data processing module on the data bus appropriate for the data type requested (see items labeled 59 and 61 in figure 5).

Art Unit: 2182

11. Referring to claim 23, Terashima discloses the data processing module is configured to evaluate header information relating to the print job to determine what types of data to request from the handshaking control module (see lines 1-41 of column 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claims 5-7 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terashima in view of Notredame et al.

 (U.S. Patent No. 6,049,390 hereinafter Notredame).
- 14. Referring to claims 5-7, Terashima fails to disclose the transmitting data of both the compressed and non-compressed data types further comprises transmitting Linework (LW) data, Linework control (LWC) data, and continuous tone (CT) data over any one of a plurality of transmission paths, however Terashima

Art Unit: 2182

does disclose using image conversion parameters for correctly converting raster images (see lines 54-60 of column 2).

Notredame discloses raster images compression schemes comprising LW data LWC data, and CT data (see lines 62-67 of column 2 and lines 1-20 of column 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art a the time of the applicant's invention to modify the method of Terashima such that the transmitting of the compressed and non-compressed data types further comprises transmitting

Linework (LW) data, Linework control (LWC) data, and continuous tone (CT) data over any one of a plurality of transmission paths. Notredame suggests using these schemes results in a more efficient use of bandwidth (see lines 62-64 of column 2).

15. Referring to claim 20, Terashima fails to disclose the handshaking control module being configured to place continuous tone (CT) data upon a dedicated CT bus.

Notredame discloses that continuous tone data is totally different from Linework data as well as the compression schemes (see lines 62-67 of column 2 and lines 1-19 of column 3);

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the system of Terashima with the teachings of Notredame such that CT

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/891,032 Art Unit: 2182

data is placed on a dedicated CT bus because the data are completely different and require different spatial frequencies as taught by Notredame (see lines 11-19 of column 3).

16. Referring to claims 21 and 22, Terashima fails to disclose that the LW or LWC data is placed on the least busy of the buses used for LW or LWC data.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the system of Terashima such that the LW or LWC data is placed on the least busy of the buses used for LW or LWC data because this would speed up print processing and Terashima suggests that the optimum methods should be used to for printing purposes (see lines 54-60 of column 2).

- 17. Claims 8,9,24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terashima in view of Har et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,310,563 hereinafter Har).
- 18. Referring to method claim 8 and system claim 24, Terashima fails to disclose the method further comprising reading a word of the data in to a data decompression module every one half-clock cycle.

Application/Control Number: 09/891,032 Page 8
Art Unit: 2182

In an analogous method, Har teaches reading a word of the data into a data decompression module every one-half clock cycle (see lines 41-62 of column 11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the method of Terashima such that is comprises reading a word of the data in to a data decompression module every one half-clock cycle. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such modification in order to reduce potential bottlenecks in the system as suggested by Har (see lines 41-62 of column 11).

- 19. Referring to method claim 9 and system claim 25, Har teaches multiplexing the different types of data and processing each type of data received in accordance with the type (see item labeled 180 figure 1).
- 20. Claims 10-13 and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terashima in view of Har and further in view of Notrdame.
- 21. Referring to method claims 10 and 11 and system 26 and 27, Terashima and Har fail discloses losslessy decompressing the

Art Unit: 2182

data when the data received is Linework (LW) data or Linework control (LWC) data.

In an analogous method Notredame teaches losslessy decompressing the data when the data received is Linework (LW) data or Linework control (LWC) data (see lines 10-19 of column 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art a the time of the applicant's invention to modify the combination of Terashima and Har such that it comprises losslessy decompressing the data when the data received is Linework (LW) data or Linework control (LWC) data in order to reproduce the compressed document without decreasing the print quality.

- 22. Referring to method claim 12 and system claim 28, Terashima discloses decompressing any compressed data when it is received (see lines 33-38 of column 8).
- 23. Referring to claim 13, the limitations set forth in this claim are the same limitations of claims 1-12, thus claim 13 is rejected under the same grounds as the rejections of claims 1-12.

Art Unit: 2182

Response to Arguments

- 24. Applicant's arguments filed 11/4/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues:
- 1) Terashima does not teach transmitting data of both the compressed and non-compressed data types over each a plurality of transmission paths (see sentence bridging pages 2 and 3 of applicant's remarks filed 11/4/03); and
- 2) Terashima does not teach or disclose multiple simultaneous transmissions of varying data types (see last sentence of second full paragraph of page 3 of applicant's remarks filed 11/7/03).

As per argument 1, the Examiner disagrees. Firstly, the applicant concedes Terashima teaches the transmission of non-compressed command data at lines 4-5 of the first full paragraph of page 3 of applicant's remarks filed. Secondly, Terashima discloses compressed data is transmitted along path 61 to the compressed data decompression section 37 (see figure 1 and lines 33-38 of column 8). Finally, since the non-compressed command data is transmitted on path 59 (see lines 1-10 of column 8) and the compressed data is sent on path 61 (see lines 33-38 of column 8), Terashima clearly teaches transmitting data of both

Art Unit: 2182

the compressed and non-compressed data types over each a plurality of transmission paths.

As per argument 2, the Examiner disagrees. At lines 42 to 50 of column 5, Terashima discloses command code (a first type of data) and a parameter are sent to a command analysis section 35 by way of a command path 55. Terashima discloses the actual data (a second type of data) is simultaneously sent to the command analysis section by way of data path 57 (emphasis added). Clearly at lines 42 to 50 of column 5 Terashima discloses multiple simultaneous transmissions of varying data types.

Conclusion

25. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2182

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eron J Sorrell whose telephone number is 703 305-7800. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffery A Gaffin can be reached on 703 308-3301. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 746-7239 for regular communications and 703 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305-3900.

EJS January 15, 2004 FRYTZ FLEMING PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2100